



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/725,438

11/29/2000

Arnab Das

LUTZ 2 00413

9499

48116

7590

09/28/2006

FAY SHARPE/LUCENT  
1100 SUPERIOR AVE  
SEVENTH FLOOR  
CLEVELAND, OH 44114

EXAMINER

MOORE, IAN N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2616

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

57

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/725,438	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DAS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ian N. Moore	<b>Art Unit</b> 2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 June 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 3-4-2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Drawings*

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, “**rate indication message including either a channel condition measurement at the receiver or a data rate based on a channel condition message**” (claim 1, lines 6-8, claim 11, lines 7) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as “amended.” If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either “Replacement Sheet” or “New Sheet” pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

**New Rejection (1)**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 (e)***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1,2,5,6,11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Scheibel (US006212240B1).

**Regarding Claim 1**, Scheibel discloses a method of transmitting data (see FIG. 3, a method executed on a communication device) comprising the steps of:

determining a first data rate (see FIG. 3, step 302; a first modulation rate; see col. 3, line 1-10) based on a measured first channel condition (see col. 3, line 11-17; line 45 to col. 23; in accordance with bandwidth, CRC, sequencing number, and/or quantity of data blocks) at a receiver to which data transmission is intended (see FIG. 1, Receiver 112 of the communication device 107 or 101; see col. 2, line 37-65; see col. 5, line 41-45),

performing a first data transmission at the first data rate (see FIG. 3 step 302, transmit to a target device at a first modulation rate; see col. 5, line 32-46):

receiving a rate indication message (see FIG. 3, step 304, receive Acknowledgment message that indicates to retransmit at second rate; also see FIG. 3, ACK 212) including either a channel condition measurements at the receiver or a data rate-based on a channel condition measurement at the receiver (see FIG. 2, ACK 21 frame header 212, which includes the

Art Unit: 2616

modulation rate; see col. 4, line 1-12,30-34; see FIG. 3, step 304; the ACK frame indicates/shows/ demonstrates/represents a first quantity of blocks that were not received and/or indicate to retransmit at second modulation rate; see col. 5, line 45-53; see col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 49);

determining a second data rate (see FIG. 3, step 310, 312; a second modulation rate) based on the received rate indication message (see col. 5, line 47 to col. 6, line 17; determining in accordance with acknowledgement message); and

performing a second data transmission of the data at the second data rate, wherein the second data transmission is a re-transmission of the first data transmission (see FIG. 3, step 312, transmit at a second modulation rate a first group of data block that were not received (i.e. retransmitting); see col. 5, line 65 to col. 6, line 17).

**Regarding Claim 2**, Scheibel discloses wherein the first and second data transmissions are identical (see FIG. 3, step 312, transmit at a second modulation rate a first group of data block that were not received (i.e. retransmitting); see col. 5, line 65 to col. 6, line 17).

**Regarding Claim 5**, Scheibel discloses receiving, after the step of determining the first data rate and prior to the step of determining the second data rate, a rate indication message indicating the second data rate for the receiver (see FIG. 3, step 304; indicating a first quantity of blocks that were not received and indicate to retransmit at second modulation rate; see col. 5, line 45-53; see col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 49).

**Regarding Claim 6**, Scheibel discloses the first data rate is a higher data rate than a data rate indicated in a received indication message (see col. 5, line 50-65; see col. 6, line 45-49; first modulation rate is greater than the second modulation rate).

**Regarding Claim 11**, Scheibel discloses a method of receiving a data transmission (see FIG. 3, a method executed on a communication device) comprising the steps of:

receiving at a receiver (see FIG. 1, Receiver 112 of the communication device 107 or 101; see col. 2, line 37-65; see col. 5, line 41-45) a first data transmission at a first data rate (see FIG. 3, step 302; a first modulation rate; see col. 3, line 1-10), wherein the first data rate is determined using a measured first channel condition (see col. 3, line 11-17; line 45 to col. 23; in accordance with bandwidth, CRC, sequencing number, and/or quantity of data blocks); and

transmitting a rate indication message (see FIG. 3, step 304, receive Acknowledgment message that indicates to retransmit at a second modulation rate; also see FIG. 3, ACK 212) if the first data transmission was not successfully received at the receiver (see FIG. 3, step 304; indicates/shows/demonstrates/represents a first quantity of blocks that were not received; see col. 5, line 45-53; see col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 49),

wherein the rate indication message includes either a channel condition measurement at the receiver or a data rate based on a channel condition measurement at the receiver (see FIG. 2, ACK 21 frame header 212, which includes the modulation rate; see col. 4, line 1-12,30-34; see FIG. 3, step 304; the ACK frame indicating a first quantity of blocks that were not received and indicate to retransmit at second modulation rate; see col. 5, line 45-53; see col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 49); and

receiving a second data transmission at a second data rate (see FIG. 3, step 310, 312; a second modulation rate), wherein the second data rate is based on the rate indication message (see FIG. 3, step 312, transmit at a second modulation rate a first group of data block that were not received (i.e. retransmitting); see col. 5, line 65 to col. 6, line 17).

**Regarding Claim 12**, Scheibel discloses storing the received first data transmission if the first data transmission was not successfully received at the receiver (see FIG. 1, memory device 116; see col. 2, line 40-60).

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scheibel in view of Reed (U.S. 4,939,731).

**Regarding Claim 4**, Scheibel does not explicitly disclose receiving, prior to the step of determining the first data rate, a rate indication message indicating the first data rate for the receiver. However, Reed teaches receiving, prior to the step of determining the first data rate, a rate indication message indicating the first data rate for the receiver (see col. 2, lines 40-51; see col. 4, lines 47 to col. 5, lines 10; receiving ARQ message to change the data rate). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide ARQ method to change the data rate, as taught by Reed in the system of Scheibel, so that it would provide telecommunication system which is reliable and can adapt to changing transmission conditions; see Reed col. 1, line 42-46.

5. Claim 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scheibel in view of Wang (U.S. 5,838,267).

**Regarding Claims 3 and 13**, Scheibel teaches transmitted packet may be stored and combined with the retransmitted packet (see FIG. 1, memory device 116; see col. 2, line 40-60). Scheibel does not explicitly disclose soft combining. However, soft combining is well known in the art. In particular, Wang discloses disclose the softcombing (see abstract; see col. 6, lines 26-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2616

invention was made to provide soft combining, as taught by Wang, in the system of Scheibel, so that it would provide error detecting and correction system (see Wang col. 2, lines 55-60), significant reduction in the residual error rate and frame erasure rate (see Wang col. 2, lines 26-30), and enable efficient reconstruction of the data packets.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scheibel in view of Corke (US006414938B1).

**Regarding Claim 7**, Scheibel discloses all limitation as disclose above in claim 1. Scheibel does not explicitly disclose the second data rate is a higher data rate than a data rate indicated in a received rate indication message. However, Corke discloses the second data rate is higher than a data rate indicated (see FIG. 6, step 606 and 608, sending shift rate up message; see col. 6, lines 45-55; the new data rate is higher than the shift up rate in the shift up message). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a second/retransmitted data rate higher than the date rate indicated, in the system of Scheibel, so that it would so that it would improve the method of retransmitting data packets in a communication system having variable bit rates; see Corke col. 1, lines 9-10, 55-63.

7. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scheibel in view of Kameda (U.S. 5,940,772).

**Regarding Claim 8**, Scheibel discloses receiving a single rate indication message indicating the data rate for a single receiver (see FIG. 1, Receiver 112; see col. 2, lines 20-45).



Scheibel does not explicitly disclose receiving, prior to step of determining the first data rate, a plurality of rate indication messages indicating the data rates for a plurality of receivers.

Kameda discloses receiving discloses receiving, prior to step of determining the first data rate, plurality of messages (see FIG. 1, wire transmission signals/messages, rate messages and error control messages; see col. 2, lines 55-62) for a plurality of receivers (see FIG. 1, Radio Base station receivers 4 or Mobile station receivers 5; see col. 2, lines 40-65; see col. 3, lines 1-6, 15-20). Thus, the combined system of Reed and Kameda discloses receiving, prior to step of determining the first data rate, a plurality of rate indication message indicating the data rate for plurality of receivers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide plurality of receives to receive plurality of messages, as taught by Kameda, in the system of Scheibel, so that it would achieve maximum transmission; see Kameda col. 1, lines 35-39.

**Regarding Claim 9**, Scheibel discloses selection a receiver to which to transmit data using the received rate indication message (see FIG. 1, Receiver 112; see col. 2, lines 20-45). Kameda discloses selecting a receiver from a plurality of receivers (see FIG. 1, Radio Base station receivers 4 or Mobile station receivers 5; see col. 2, lines 40-65; see col. 3, lines 1-6, 15-20) and sending/receiving plurality of messages (see FIG. 1, wire transmission signals/messages, rate messages and error control messages; see col. 2, lines 55-62). Thus, the combined system of Scheibel and Kameda discloses selecting a receiver from a plurality of receivers to which to transmit data using the received plurality of rate indication messages. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a mechanism of selecting a receiver from plurality of receives to transmit data, as taught by

Kameda, in the combined system of Reed and Kameda, so that it would achieve maximum transmission; see Kameda col. 1, lines 35-39.

**Regarding Claim 10**, Scheibel discloses selecting a receiver, which associated with a rate indication message indicating a data rate (see FIG. 1, Receiver 112; see col. 2, lines 20-45). Kameda discloses the selected a receiver is a receiver associated with a highest data rate (see FIG. 2, 9800 BPS; see col. 3, lines 29-32). Thus, the combined system of Scheibel and Kameda discloses the selected receiver associated with a rate indication message indication a highest data rate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide associating a selected receiver with a highest data rate, as taught by Kameda, in the combined system of Scheibel and Kameda, so that it would achieve maximum transmission; see Kameda col. 1, lines 35-39.

#### **New Rejection (2)**

8. Claims 1,2,4,5,11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed (U.S. 4,939,731) in view of Sayeed (US005828677A).

**Regarding Claim 1**, Reed discloses a method of transmitting data comprising the steps of:

determining a first data rate based on a measured first channel condition (see col. 2, line 40-51; see col. 4, line 23-25, 50-61; determine the rate in accordance with noise, interference, error, lost, corruption, channel quality, or collision) at a receiver to which data transmission is intended (see FIG. 1,5; recipient/receiver station; see col. 3, line 53-65; see col. 4, line 55-59);

performing a first data transmission at the first data rate (see FIG. 1,5, transmission at a first transmission rate; see col. 2, lines 40-51):

receiving a rate indication message (see FIG. 5, ARQ message) indication either a channel condition measurements at a receiver or a data rate-based on a channel condition measurement at a receiver (see col. 4, line 46-65; ARQ indicates/shows/demonstrates/represents channel/transmission quality measurement/detect information and/or changes in baud rate information by indication a request baud rate);

determining a second data rate (see FIG. 5, a new data rate indicated by ARQ) based on the received rate indication message (see col. 5, lines 5-10; in accordance with the channel quality factor of transmission; see col. 2, lines 40-51; see col. 4, lines 47 to col. 5, lines 10)

performing a second data transmission of the data at the second data rate (see col. 2, lines 40-51; see col. 4, lines 47 to col. 5, lines 10) wherein the second data transmission is re-transmission of the first data transmission (see col. 2, lines 40-51; see col. 4, lines 47 to col. 5, lines 10; auto-repeat/retransmitting).

Reed does not explicitly disclose message including. However, a rate indication message/hybrid-ARQ/ARQ including a data rate is well known in the art. In particular, Sayeed teaches a rate indicating message (see FIG. 2B,C, E, or F; Hybrid FEC-ARQ message with  $\frac{1}{2}$  rate or  $\frac{3}{4}$  rate) including either a channel condition measurement (see FIG. 2B,C,E, F message includes CRC 16 in the header for channel condition measurement or detected result; see col. 3, line 59-61) or a data rate based on channel condition measurement (see FIG. 2 B,C,E,F message includes C Rate  $\frac{1}{2}$  or  $\frac{3}{4}$ ; see col. 4, line 65 to col. 4, line 10, 45 to col. 5, line 65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide message including, as taught by Sayeed in the system of Reed, so that it would provide adaptive hybrid ARQ scheme which does not require that the receiver be burdened with

Art Unit: 2616

such a additional analysis task, and one that does not require additional data be transmitted; see Sayeed col. 2, line 46-65.

**Regarding Claim 2**, Reed discloses wherein the first and second data transmissions are identical (see col. 2, lines 40-51; see col. 4, lines 47 to col. 5, lines 10).

**Regarding Claim 4**, Reed discloses receiving, prior to the step of determining the first data rate, a rate indication message indicating the first data rate for the receiver (see col. 2, lines 40-51; see col. 4, lines 47 to col. 5, lines 10).

**Regarding Claim 5**, Reed discloses receiving, after the step of determining the first data rate and prior to the step of determining the second data rate, a rate indication message indicating the second data rate for the receiver (see col. 2, lines 40-51; see col. 4, lines 47 to col. 5, lines 10).

**Regarding Claim 11**, Reed discloses a method of receiving a data transmission comprising the steps of:

receiving at a receiver a first data transmission at a first data rate (see FIG. 1,5; recipient/receiver station; see col. 3, line 53-65; see col. 4, line 55-59), wherein the first data rate is determined using a measured first channel condition (see col. 2, line 40-51; see col. 4, line 23-25, 50-61; determine the rate in accordance with noise, interference, error, lost, corruption, channel quality, or collision);

and transmitting a rate indication message (see FIG. 5, ARQ message) if the first data transmission was not successfully received at the receiver (see col. 2, lines 40-51; see col. 4, lines 47 to col. 5, lines 10; when the packet is lost or corrupted); wherein the rate indication message indicates either a channel condition measurement at the receiver or a data rate based on

Art Unit: 2616

a channel condition measurement at the receiver (see col. 4, line 46-65; ARQ indicates/shows/demonstrates/represents channel/transmission quality measurement/detect information and/or changes in baud rate information by indication a request baud rate); and receiving a second data transmission at a second data rate (see FIG. 5, a new data rate indicated by ARQ), wherein the second data rate is based on the rate indication message (see col. 5, lines 5-10; in accordance with the channel quality factor of transmission; see col. 2, lines 40-51; see col. 4, lines 47 to col. 5, lines 10).

Reed does not explicitly disclose message including. However, a rate indication message/hybrid-ARQ/ARQ including a data rate is well known in the art. In particular, Sayeed teaches a rate indicating message (see FIG. 2B,C, E, or F; Hybrid FEC-ARQ message with  $\frac{1}{2}$  rate or  $\frac{3}{4}$  rate) including either a channel condition measurement (see FIG. 2B,C, E, F message includes CRC 16 in the header for channel condition measurement or detected result; see col. 3, line 59-61) or a data rate based on channel condition measurement (see FIG. 2 B, C, E, F message includes C Rate  $\frac{1}{2}$  or  $\frac{3}{4}$ ; see col. 4, line 65 to col. 4, line 10, 45 to col. 5, line 65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide message including, as taught by Sayeed in the system of Reed, so that it would provide adaptive hybrid ARQ scheme which does not require that the receiver be burdened with such a additional analysis task, and one that does not require additional data be transmitted; see Sayeed col. 2, line 46-65.

**Regarding Claim 12**, Reed discloses storing the received first data transmission if the first data transmission was not successfully received at the receiver (see col. 5, lines 39-51).

9. Claim 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed in view of Reed and further view of Wang (U.S. 5,838,267).

**Regarding Claims 3 and 13,** Reed teaches transmitted packet may be stored and combined with the retransmitted packet (see col. 5, lines 39-51). Reed does not explicitly disclose soft combining. However, soft combining is well known in the art. In particular, Wang discloses disclose the softcombing (see abstract; see col. 6, lines 26-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide soft combining, as taught by Wang, in the system of Reed, so that it would provide error detecting and correction system (see Wang col. 2, lines 55-60), significant reduction in the residual error rate and frame erasure rate (see Wang col. 2, lines 26-30).

10. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed in view of Sayeed, and further in view of Corke (US006414938B1).

**Regarding Claim 6,** Reed discloses that baud rate is decreased on a poor channel after transmission (see col. 5, lines 4-7). Corke discloses the first data rate is higher than a data rate indicated in a received rate indication message (see FIG. 6, step 614 and 616, sending shift rate down message; see col. 6, lines 55-65; since the data rate is shift down from the first data rate, the first data rate must be higher than the shift down rate in the shift down message). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the first data rate higher than shift down rate, in the combined system of Reed

Art Unit: 2616

and Corke, so that it would improve the method of retransmitting data packets in a communication system having variable bit rates; see Corke col. 1, lines 9-10.

**Regarding Claim 7**, Reed discloses that the baud rate is increased on a good channel (see col. 5, lines 4-7). Corke discloses the second data rate is higher than a data rate indicated (see FIG. 6, step 606 and 608, sending shift rate up message; see col. 6, lines 45-55; the new data rate is higher than the shift up rate in the shift up message). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set the second data rate higher than indicated rate due to channel quality, in the system of Reed, so that it would improve the method of retransmitting data packets in a communication system having variable bit rates; see Corke col. 1, lines 9-10.

**Regarding Claim 8**, Reed discloses receiving, prior to step of determining the first data rate, a single rate indication message indicating the data rate for a single receiver (see col. 2, lines 40-45). Corke discloses receiving plurality of messages (see FIG. 1, signaling messages; see col. 2, lines 45-50) for a plurality of receivers (see FIG. 1, Radio Base station receivers 104 and 103 or Mobile stations receivers 102; see col. 2, lines 45-50). Thus, the combined system of Reed and Corke discloses receiving, prior to step of determining the first data rate, a plurality rate indication message indicating the data rate for plurality receivers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide plurality of receives to receive plurality of messages, as taught by Corke, in the system of Reed, so that it would improve the method of retransmitting data packets in a communication system having variable bit rates; see Corke col. 1, lines 9-10.

**Regarding Claim 9**, Reed discloses selection a receiver to which to transmit data using the received rate indication message (see col. 2, lines 40-45). Corke discloses selecting a receiver from a plurality of receivers (see FIG. 1, Radio Base station receivers 104 and 103 or Mobile stations receivers 102; see col. 2, lines 45-50) and sending/receiving plurality of messages see FIG. 1, signaling messages; see col. 2, lines 45-50). Thus, the combined system of Reed and Corke discloses selecting a receiver from a plurality of receivers to which to transmit data using the received plurality of rate indication messages. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a mechanism of selecting a receiver from plurality of receives to transmit data, as taught by Corke, in the combined system of Reed and Corke, so that it would improve the method of retransmitting data packets in a communication system having variable bit rates; see Corke col. 1, lines 9-10.

**Regarding Claim 10**, Reed discloses selecting a receiver, which associated with a rate indication message indicating a highest data rate (see col. 2, lines 40-45). Corke discloses the selected a receiver is a receiver associated with a higher data rate (see col. 4, lines 44-50). Thus, the combined system of Reed and Corke discloses the selected receiver associated with a rate indication message indication a highest data rate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide associating a selected receiver with a high data rate, as taught by Corke, in the combined system of Reed and Corke, so that it would improve the method of retransmitting data packets in a communication system having variable bit rates; see Corke col. 1, lines 9-10, and it would enable the system to select the rout that has the highest throughput.



**New Rejection (3)**

11. Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed (U.S. 4,939,731) in view of Padovani (US006574211B2).

**Regarding Claim 1**, Reed discloses a method of transmitting data comprising the steps of:

determining a first data rate based on a measured first channel condition (see col. 2, line 40-51; see col. 4, line 23-25, 50-61; determine the rate in accordance with noise, interference, error, lost, corruption, channel quality, or collision) at a receiver to which data transmission is intended (see FIG. 1,5; recipient/receiver station; see col. 3, line 53-65; see col. 4, line 55-59);

performing a first data transmission at the first data rate (see FIG. 1,5, transmission at a first transmission rate; see col. 2, lines 40-51):

receiving a rate indication message (see FIG. 5, ARQ message) indication either a channel condition measurements at a receiver or a data rate-based on a channel condition measurement at a receiver (see col. 4, line 46-65; ARQ indicates/shows/demonstrates/represents channel/transmission quality measurement/detect information and/or changes in baud rate information by indication a request baud rate);

determining a second data rate (see FIG. 5, a new data rate indicated by ARQ) based on the received rate indication message (see col. 5, lines 5-10; in accordance with the channel quality factor of transmission; see col. 2, lines 40-51; see col. 4, lines 47 to col. 5, lines 10)

performing a second data transmission of the data at the second data rate (see col. 2, lines 40-51; see col. 4, lines 47 to col. 5, lines 10) wherein the second data transmission is re-

Art Unit: 2616

transmission of the first data transmission (see col. 2, lines 40-51; see col. 4, lines 47 to col. 5, lines 10; auto-repeat/retransmitting).

Reed does not explicitly disclose message including. However, Padovani teaches a rate indicating message (see FIG. 6,7A, DRC (Data Rate Control) message; see col. 32, line 54 to col. 33, line 10) including either a channel condition measurement at the receiver (see col. 33, line 32-40; link quality (i.e. measured C/I information)) or a data rate based on channel condition measurement (see col. 20, line 35-67, Rate Table 1; col. 33, line 32-65; DRC carries/includes data rate (according to Table 1) based upon C/I measurement). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide message including, as taught by Padovani in the system of Reed, so that it would improve throughput and transmission delay; see Padovani col. 4, line 30-44; col. 5, line 45-56; see col. 32, line 52-60.

**Regarding Claim 11**, Reed discloses a method of receiving a data transmission comprising the steps of:

receiving at a receiver a first data transmission at a first data rate (see FIG. 1,5; recipient/receiver station; see col. 3, line 53-65; see col. 4, line 55-59), wherein the first data rate is determined using a measured first channel condition (see col. 2, line 40-51; see col. 4, line 23-25, 50-61; determine the rate in accordance with noise, interference, error, lost, corruption, channel quality, or collision);

and transmitting a rate indication message (see FIG. 5, ARQ message) if the first data transmission was not successfully received at the receiver (see col. 2, lines 40-51; see col. 4, lines 47 to col. 5, lines 10; when the packet is lost or corrupted); wherein the rate indication

Art Unit: 2616

message indicates either a channel condition measurement at the receiver or a data rate based on a channel condition measurement at the receiver (see col. 4, line 46-65; ARQ indicates/shows/demonstrates/represents channel/transmission quality measurement/detect information and/or changes in baud rate information by indication a request baud rate); and receiving a second data transmission at a second data rate (see FIG. 5, a new data rate indicated by ARQ), wherein the second data rate is based on the rate indication message (see col. 5, lines 5-10; in accordance with the channel quality factor of transmission; see col. 2, lines 40-51; see col. 4, lines 47 to col. 5, lines 10).

Reed does not explicitly disclose message including. However, Padovani teaches a rate indicating message (see FIG. 6,7A, DRC (Data Rate Control) message; see col. 32, line 54 to col. 33, line 10) including either a channel condition measurement at the receiver (see col. 33, line 32-40; link quality (i.e. measured C/I information)) or a data rate based on channel condition measurement (see col. 20, line 35-67, Rate Table 1; col. 33, line 32-65; DRC carries/includes data rate (according to Table 1) based upon C/I measurement). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide message including, as taught by Padovani in the system of Reed, so that it would improve throughput and transmission delay; see Padovani col. 4, line 30-44; col. 5, line 45-56; see col. 32, line 52-60.

### ***Response to Arguments***

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2616

**Regarding claim 1-13, the applicant argued that, "...Scheibel described ARQ system which does not involve receiving or transmitting a rate indication message that includes either a channel condition measurement at the receiver or a data rate based on a channel condition measurement at the receiver..."** in page 7, section VI, V, VI, VII; and page 8, section VIII.

**In response to applicant's argument, the examiner respectfully disagrees** with the argument above.

Reed discloses receiving a rate indication message (see FIG. 3, step 304, receive **Acknowledgment message that indicates to retransmit at second rate; also see FIG. 3, ACK 212**) including either a channel condition measurements at the receiver or a data rate-based on a channel condition measurement at the receiver (see FIG. 2, ACK 21 frame header 212, which **includes the modulation rate; see col. 4, line 1-12,30-34; see FIG. 3, step 304; the ACK frame indicates/shows/ demonstrates/represents a first quantity of blocks that were not received and/or indicate to retransmit at second modulation rate; see col. 5, line 45-53; see col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 49**).

**Regarding arguments on claim 1-13 on Reed**, please see responses from the previous action since they are similar to previous argument.

### ***Conclusion***

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ian N. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-3085. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM- 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on 571-272-7629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JNM

INM

9-15-06



DORIS H. TO  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600